

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FII | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------|----------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/662,274 | 0 | 9/15/2003 | Kevin Zuber | | 9021 | |
| 7 | 590 | 07/14/2004 | | EXAM | INER | |
| Kevin Zuber 17774 East 430 | ìth Aven | iie | | NGUYEN | GUYEN, KIEN T | |
| West Liberty, IL 62475 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • • | | | | 2212 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 1W | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | 10/662,274 | ZUBER, KEVIN | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Kien T. Nguyen | 3712 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply | pears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence addres | is | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a re- oly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commu ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | · | • | ints is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D | . 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application | ١. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | wn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | cepted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached | d Office Action or form PTO-1 | 152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documen | its have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | ority documents have been | received in this National Sta | ge | | |
| application from the International Burea | , | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | t of the certified copies not | received. | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | |
| 3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 | 5) D Notice of Ir | nformal Patent Application (PTO-15 | 2) | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/15/03</u> . | 6) | <u>_</u> · | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Application/Control Number: 10/662,274 Page 2

Art Unit: 3712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 5, the limitation "said extension member" lacks antecedent basis because it was not clear whether it's same as "an elongated radial extension" as set forth on line 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grampp U.S. Patent 2,184,097.

Grampp disclosed a seesaw comprising an elongated planar member (10); an axle assembly having an axle member (39), an elongated radial extension (25), and a pair of wheels (40, 41); the extension (25) extending radially between, and coupled to, the axle and the planar member as shown in Fig. 1; the wheels coupled to the axle member (see Fig. 2), one wheel disposed on each side of the planar member; a ratchet-and-pawl assembly comprising a tooth gear (31), and a pair of pawl members (22, 23) coupled to the planar member and structured to engage the tooth gear (see Fig. 3).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The enclosed references are cited for interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2493. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3712